



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/772,572 | 01/30/2001 | Takaaki Shimada | SHC0106 | 3040 | |
| 7: | 590 04/04/2002 | | | | |
| BAKER & DANIELS 111 EAST WAYNE STREET, SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | ANDERSON, CATHARINE L | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3761 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | 09/772,572 | | SHIMADA ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | C. Lynne Anderse | | 3761 | |
| Period fo | | | | | |
| THE - External form of the control | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adapted term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire S | ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONED | will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | • | | • | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th | nis action is non-fir | nal. | | |
| 3)□ Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims | ance except for for Ex parte Quayle, | rmal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 49 | osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213. | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from considera | ition. | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirer | nent. | | |
| 9) 🔲 - | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2001</u> is/are | | objected to b | v the Examiner | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | ` ' | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | | | · | |
| 12) 🔲 🗆 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13)🖾 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | |
| a)[| ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | , , | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been recei | ved. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| • | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list | rity documents hav | /e been received 7.2(a)). | in this National Stage | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | - | | | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro | visional applicatio | n has been rece | ived. | |
| Attachment | | ,, | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> | 5) 🗍 | | PTO-413) Paper No(s) Itent Application (PTO-152) | |
| S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev | = · · · · · · | ction Summary | | Part of Paper No. 5 | |

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the fastening tape strips extending so as to describe a curve, as disclosed in claim 3, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said openings" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said fastening strips" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said longitudinal direction" in line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3761

1

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goulait (5,108,384).

Goulait discloses a disposable diaper 20, as shown in figure 1, comprising a liquid pervious topsheet 26, a liquid impervious backsheet 30, and a liquid absorbent core 28. The diaper 20 further comprises front and rear waist regions 42, and a crotch region between the waist regions 42. A waist opening and leg openings are shown in figure 7. Elastic members 36 give the leg openings an elastic stretchability. Fastening tape strips 60 are provided on the outer surface of the backsheet 30, as shown in figure 7, and are rectangular in shape, extending in the longitudinal direction along one side. The inner surface of the intermediate region of the fastening tape strips 60 comprises an adhesive region 63, as shown in figure 2. The adhesive region 63 is adapted to attach to the outer surface of the diaper when the diaper is rolled up for disposal, as shown in figure 9, and described in column 17, lines 38-46.

With respect to claim 2, the intermediate region of the fastening tape strips 60 is bonded to the outer surface of the backsheet 30 in the vicinity of the side edge regions, as shown in figures 8 and 9.

Art Unit: 3761

2

With respect to claim 4, the fastening tape strips 60 are made of polyethylene film, which is a flexible but substantially non-stretchable plastic sheet, as described in column 9, lines 20-21.

With respect to claim 5, the adhesive region 63 comprises pressure sensitive adhesive, as described in column 9, lines 26-27.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 5,100,399; 5,851,205; 6,063,067; and 6,210,386 pertain to diapers that are disposed of in a rolled up configuration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John G. Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3761

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

cla April 1, 2002

John G. Weiss Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700